



# Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP)

## Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers

### UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX

This report presents results from the principal survey of beginning teachers. In this survey, principals describe the preparation of new teachers in six categories of practices. Principals complete surveys for first-year teachers who graduated at any time during the 5 years prior to the reporting period and who taught in the Texas public school system for a minimum of 5 months during the reporting period. Teachers on both standard and probationary certificates are included.

Percentages note the percent of teachers prepared by an EPP who received an average score of Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared when all applicable questions were averaged, and within each of the six categories. The overall percentage serves as indicator 2 of the Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Accountability Performance Indicators. The percentages within each category are Consumer Information, required by the Texas Education Code. Due to teachers having strengths in different areas and the way the overall indicator is computed, the percentages in the six categories cannot be expected to average to the overall proficiency standard. For details about the survey, visit: <https://tinyurl.com/ydy335z8>

**Indicator 2 Outcomes**

<b>EPP Score: 100</b>	<b>Standard: 70*</b>	<b>State Average: 73</b>
Met Standard 2: SGE**	Region ESC: San Antonio	EPP Type: Alternative
# Certifications: 4	# Graduates Rated (N): 3	

\* Reporting purposes only for 2016-17 \*\*Small Group Exception

### 2016-17 Percentage of First Year Teachers Designated as Sufficiently or Well Prepared

	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX	Alternative	All TX EPPS
<b>Classroom Environment</b>	100%	76%	80%
<b>Instruction</b>	100%	76%	79%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	100%	73%	79%
<b>English Language Learners</b>	100%	81%	84%
<b>Technology Integration</b>	100%	87%	89%
<b>Use Technology with Data</b>	100%	86%	87%

### 2016-17 Number of Teachers Rated by Area

Area	Number	Area	Number	Area	Number
English Language Arts		General Elementary	1	Languages other than English	
Mathematics		Special Education	1	Career and Technical Education	
Science		Health/Physical Ed.		Technology/Applications/ Computer Science	
Social Studies		Professional		Bilingual/English as a Second Language	
Fine Arts		Other			

## 2016-17 Percentage of Teachers Rated Sufficiently or Well Prepared

### UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX (N=3)

<b>Classroom Environment</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To effectively implement discipline management procedures	100%	82%
To communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encourage self-discipline and self-directed learning	100%	84%
To provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment	100%	89%
To build and maintain positive rapport with students	100%	92%
To build and maintain positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families	100%	89%
<b>Instruction</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving	100%	86%
To respond to the needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and differentiating instruction	100%	86%
To use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction	100%	86%
To engage and motivate students through learner-centered instruction	100%	87%
To integrate effective modeling, questioning, and self-reflection (self-assessment) strategies into instruction	100%	87%
To assume various roles in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator, audience)	100%	88%
To set clear learning goals and align instruction with standards-based content	100%	89%
To provide quality and timely feedback to students	100%	90%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities	100%	84%
To differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities	100%	82%
To provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning	100%	87%
To understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services	100%	91%
To make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an IEP	100%	88%
To develop and/or implement formal and informal assessment that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives	100%	86%
To collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities	100%	91%
<b>English Language Learners</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To provide appropriate ways for LEP-ELL students to demonstrate their learning	100%	88%
To understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for LEP-ELL students	100%	91%
To comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding LEP-ELL students	100%	93%
To support LEP-ELL students in mastering the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS)	100%	88%
To model and teach the forms and functions of academic English in content areas	100%	89%
<b>Technology Integration</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To use technology available on the campus to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Application TEKS to support student learning	100%	94%
To provide technology based classroom learning opportunities that allow students to interact with real-time and/or On line content	100%	92%
To teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills	100%	92%
To use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students	100%	92%
<b>Using Technology with Data</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To use available technology to collect, manage and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic gradebook)	100%	92%
To use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze data from multiple sources in order to interpret learning results for students	100%	90%
To use available technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate	100%	89%
To use available technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction	100%	90%

## Percentage of First Year Teachers Appraised as Sufficiently or Well Prepared from 2015 – 2017

### UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX

Performance Standard: 70% (Reporting purposes only for 2016-17)

	N	2014-15	N	2015-16	N	2016-17
<b>Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers</b>	9	74%	5	20%	3	100%
<b>Classroom Environment</b>	9	79%	5	40%	3	100%
<b>Instruction</b>	9	75%	5	40%	3	100%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	5	20%	4	25%	3	100%
<b>English Language Learners</b>	0	%	4	50%	2	100%
<b>Technology Integration</b>	9	71%	5	60%	3	100%
<b>Use Technology with Data</b>	9	65%	5	40%	3	100%

Alternative - Teacher training offered by education service centers, school districts and other entities, as well as colleges and universities, for individuals who already hold a baccalaureate degree.

Traditional - Teacher training offered by colleges and universities as part of an undergraduate degree program.

Traditional/Alternative - Teacher training includes undergraduate preparation as well as alternative and/or post-baccalaureate preparation

Source: State Board for Educator Certification (<https://secure.sbec.state.tx.us/SBECOnline/approvedprograms.asp?s=3>)