## Physical Fitness Assessment Initiative

## Programmatic Leveling Analysis

Summary of Data Analysis:

|  | 2007-2008 Data FITNESSGRAM ${ }^{\circledR}$ Test <br> (\% Achieving Healthy Fitness Zone on all 6 Tests) |  |  | 2008-2009 Data FITNESSGRAM ${ }^{\circledR}$ Test <br> (\% Achieving Healthy Fitness Zone on all 6 Tests) |  |  | 2009-2010 Data FITNESSGRAM ${ }^{\circledR}$ Test <br> (\% Achieving Healthy Fitness Zone on all 6 Tests) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Total \# Tested | Girls (\%) | Boys (\%) | Total \# Tested | Girls (\%) | Boys (\%) | Total \# Tested | Girls (\%) | Boys (\%) |
| 3 | 102,342 | 33.25 | 28.60 | 116,096 | 36.42 | 30.89 | 119,401 | 37.27 | 30.98 |
| 4 | 80,539 | 28.50 | 21.14 | 95,842 | 33.53 | 24.55 | 102,709 | 34.22 | 25.26 |
| 5 | 66,798 | 23.82 | 17.89 | 79,281 | 28.02 | 20.85 | 87,389 | 30.12 | 21.81 |
| 6 | 60,663 | 23.08 | 17.60 | 75,610 | 28.20 | 20.55 | 83,982 | 30.23 | 27.70 |
| 7 | 55,441 | 21.32 | 17.26 | 66,950 | 26.01 | 19.58 | 76,555 | 28.14 | 21.42 |
| 8 | 48,971 | 18.99 | 17.88 | 60,004 | 22.28 | 19.80 | 67,218 | 24.18 | 21.62 |
| 9 | 39,456 | 13.90 | 15.04 | 46,206 | 16.25 | 16.14 | 48,278 | 17.04 | 15.71 |
| 10 | 28,650 | 12.42 | 13.70 | 32,865 | 13.33 | 13.88 | 32,069 | 13.16 | 12.98 |
| 11 | 21,152 | 10.68 | 12.24 | 24,416 | 11.10 | 12.16 | 23,431 | 10.6 | 11.14 |
| 12 | 13,040 | 8.18 | 8.96 | 15,468 | 8.78 | 9.25 | 15,214 | 8.07 | 8.54 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Students Assessed: } 2,658,665 & \text { Students Assessed: 2,801,486 } \\
\text { Districts Submitting: 1,074 (84.77\%) } & \text { Districts Submitting: 1,132 (89.42\%) }
\end{array}
$$

Students Assessed: 2,903,200
Districts Submitting: 1,141 (92.24\%)

## Summary of Data Analysis:

State level data continued to increase slightly from year two to year three in grades 3-8. With continued focus at the local level in the implementation of evidence-based physical activity and nutrition program, we should continue to see improvement each year. School districts are encouraged to review their own data using the FITNESSGRAM ${ }^{\circledR}$ software reporting systems, as well as other evaluation methods. Students, school personnel, parents and community members are encouraged to utilize this locally-collected data to motivate the implementation of new programs and practices, as well as nurture existing best practices, that will continue to improve the health and well-being of their youth.

## Goals for Districts for 2010-2011:

Collect and submit fitness assessment data representing 100\% of eligible students.
Increase the percentage of student achieving the healthy fitness zone standard in all six required test items.
Continue to development and implement and/or strengthen goals and objectives for physical activity programs.
Incorporate Coordinated School Health as part of Campus Improvement Plans.
Strengthen School Health Advisory Councils to support the implementation of all school health policies and practices.

